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| **Mark** | **FOCUS ON QUESTION** | **KNOWLEDGE AND UNDESTANDING** | **CRITICAL ANALYSIS & ARGUMENT** | |
| 1-3 | There is little understanding of the demands of the question. The response is poorly structured or, where there is a recognizable essay structure, there is minimal focus on the task. | Little knowledge is present. Where specific examples are referred to, they are factually incorrect, irrelevant or vague. | The response contains little or no critical analysis. It may consist mostly of generalizations and poorly substantiated assertions. |  |
| 4-6 | * The response indicates some understanding of the demands of the question. * While there may be an attempt to follow a structured approach, the response lacks clarity and coherence. | * Knowledge is demonstrated but lacks accuracy and relevance. * There is a superficial understanding of historical context. * The answer makes use of specific examples, although these may be vague or lack relevance. | * There is some limited analysis, but the response is primarily narrative/descriptive in nature, rather than analytical. |  |
| 7-9 | * The response indicates an understanding of the demands of the question, but these demands are only partially addressed. There is an attempt to follow a structured approach. | * Knowledge is mostly accurate and relevant. Events are generally placed in their historical context. * Examples used are appropriate and relevant. | * The response moves beyond description to include some analysis or critical commentary, but this is not sustained. |  |
| 10-12 | * The demands of the question are understood and addressed. Answers are generally well structured and organized, although there may be some repetition or lack of clarity in places. | * Knowledge is accurate and relevant. * Events are placed in their historical context, and there is a clear understanding of historical concepts. * Examples used are appropriate and relevant, and are used to support the analysis/evaluation. | * Arguments are mainly clear and coherent. * There is some awareness and evaluation of different perspectives. | * The response contains critical analysis. * Most of the main points are substantiated. * The response argues to a consistent conclusion. |
| 13-15 | * Responses are clearly focused, showing a high degree of awareness of the demands and implications of the question. * Answers are well structured, balanced and effectively organized. | * Knowledge is detailed, accurate and relevant. * Events are placed in their historical context, and there is a clear understanding of historical concepts. Examples used are appropriate and relevant, and are used effectively to support the analysis/evaluation. | * Arguments are clear and coherent. * There is evaluation of different perspectives, and this evaluation is integrated effectively into the answer. | * The answer contains well-developed critical analysis. * All, or nearly all, of the main points are substantiated. * The response argues to a reasoned conclusion. |

***COMMENTS:***