|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| **Communication:**  **AFOREST TECHNIQUES, &CLARITY** | There is little evidence of AFOREST techniques. The speech is hard to follow and there may be some technical issues. | You may have tried to use a range of AFOREST techniques, but not always appropriately or persuasively. Your style of delivery does not make it easy to follow. The technical aspects – appearance & audibility – may be limited. | You have used some AFOREST techniques, generally appropriately and with some persuasion. Your style of delivery includes some engagement features (gestures, eye contact). The technical aspects – appearance & audibility – are clear. | You have used a range of AFOREST techniques appropriately and persuasively. Your style of delivery is engaging for the audience (gestures, eye contact). The technical aspects – appearance & audibility – are stylish and competent. |
| **Thinking:**  **KNOWLEDGE, EXPLANATION & USE OF EVIDENCE** | You have identified few reasons about what your tribe needs and/or deserves compensation. You have no real examples to support it. The speech lacks some structure. | You have identified some reasons what your tribe needs and/or deserves compensation. You have limited explanation and occasional examples to support it. The speech may have some structure. | You have identified some reasons why your tribe needs and deserves compensation. You have sometimes supported your ideas with explanation and examples. The speech is generally structured. | You have clearly identified several reasons why your tribe needs and deserves compensation. You have supported your ideas with explanation and useful examples. The speech is logically structured. |

\*You have been placed in the descriptor that ‘best fits’ your speech. Any areas highlighted in red indicated a specific area for development.

|  |
| --- |
| **Comment:** |